

Pettinga Insights and Outlook

June 2015 | Vol. No. 1 | Investment Updates

April Employment Report: Mixed News

The April employment report wasn't so strong that it created worries about economic overheating and Federal Reserve tightening, and it wasn't as weak as to create concern that the economy was about to slide back into a recession.

Looking at private sector job growth, which excludes the much slower-growing government sector, the three-month average, year-over-year growth rate remains relatively high at 2.6%, which is down just a touch from recent highs and still above the 2.4% average growth rate of the past 12 months. Overall, it seems the jobs report was strong enough to keep a rate increase on the table for September, but weak enough that a June rate increase now appears unlikely.

Private Sector Employment and Wage Growth

	Employment Growth (%)	Hourly Wage Growth (%)
April 2014	2.1	2.1
May	2.2	2.1
June	2.2	2.0
July	2.3	2.1
August	2.3	2.1
September	2.4	2.1
October	2.4	2.1
November	2.4	2.1
December	2.5	2.0
January 2015	2.6	2.1
February	2.7	2.0
March	2.7	2.1
April	2.6	2.1
Average (12 months)	2.4	2.1

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics. Growth rates are calculated on a year-over-year, 3-month average basis.

This article contains certain forward-looking statements which involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause the actual results to differ materially from any future results expressed or implied by those projected statements. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

Pettinga

Get there.

Our Firm

Pettinga Financial Advisors, LLC is an independent, fee-only financial services firm serving clients across the nation from our office in Evansville, Indiana.

We offer a no-obligation introductory meeting to interested persons and institutions to determine if we can add value to their individual situation.

Because you receive our services on a fee-only basis, you pay no sales commissions for our investment counsel. Nor do you deal with sales people tied to transaction commissions. Instead, you enjoy a personal relationship with a knowledgeable, unbiased advisor.

Your personal financial advisor focuses on the future you envision and commits to helping you Get There.

Pettinga Financial Advisors, LLC is a proud member of Focus Financial Partners.

I Bonds Versus TIPS, Part 1

Most investors looking for a low-risk hedge against inflation automatically think of Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities, or TIPS. But under the right circumstances, I Bonds, which also offer an inflation-adjusted interest rate, may be just as useful—provided that investors understand how they work and how they differ from TIPS.

One reason that investors don't hear more about I Bonds is that, unlike many other bond types, they are not traded on a market. Only the person in whose name they are registered may redeem them. As such, I Bonds are not found in bond funds' portfolios. These are securities you have to invest in directly.

There are two ways to purchase I Bonds. You can buy them in electronic form directly from TreasuryDirect.gov or you can instruct the IRS (using Form 8888) to use some or all of your federal income tax refund to buy paper I Bonds or to send the money to your TreasuryDirect account, which you can then use to purchase them.

One drawback of I Bonds is that annual purchases are limited to \$10,000 per Social Security number for electronic versions and \$5,000 per year for paper versions. So, investors who hope to make I Bonds a cornerstone of their inflation-protection strategy and who have a large amount of assets may have to build a suitable position over time. Also, electronic I Bonds may be purchased in any amount of \$25 or more, while paper I Bonds are only issued in denominations of \$50, \$100, \$200, \$500, \$1,000, and \$5,000.

Difference in Inflation-Adjustment Methods

Like TIPS, I Bonds are designed to adjust for inflation, although they do so in different ways. For one, TIPS adjust the value of their principal and, thus, the yield, while I Bonds adjust the yield directly with no change to the principal value. Both adjust for inflation semi-annually, and for I Bonds, this happens on the six- and 12-month anniversaries of the date they were issued (the rate of the adjustment is determined every May and November). Both security types use the Consumer Price Index as the basis for their inflation adjustments.

The fact that I Bonds adjust their yields only twice a year and are not tradable means that they can be less sensitive than TIPS to near-term changes in the rate of inflation. For example, if inflation spikes in June of a given year, an investor holding I Bonds would have to wait at least another five months, until November, for the yield on the I Bond to reflect this change (and possibly longer if the anniversary of the I Bond's purchase falls after November). With TIPS, that's not an issue because market prices will adjust to reflect more recent changes to the rate of inflation. Of course, if inflation heads lower, the delay in the adjustment could potentially provide a short-term advantage for I Bonds relative to TIPS.

The interest rate paid by I Bonds includes both a fixed rate that remains constant for the life of the bond plus the inflation adjustment. With interest rates as low as they are right now and inflation relatively low as well, newly issued I Bonds aren't paying much. In fact, the fixed-rate portion of new I Bonds is paying 0%, while the inflation rate for the full year ending with the most recent adjustment last November is 1.48%, for an overall composite rate on the bond of 1.48%. However, one advantage that I Bonds have over TIPS is that their composite interest rate is guaranteed to never fall below 0%, meaning the bondholder is guaranteed to never lose principal. With TIPS, yields can turn negative, potentially leading to losses for the bondholder.

Monthly Market Commentary

This month, it seems that good news is bad news once again. A series of positive economic data (mainly stronger employment growth) lit up markets with fear that growth would rebound sharply in the summer months, just like in 2014, and that a better economic situation would likely push the Fed over the edge and force its first rate increase by September. Potential homebuyers are likely entering full panic mode as they scramble to get a deal done before that happens.

GDP: The first-quarter GDP growth rate was revised from a measly 0.2% to an outright decline of 0.7%. However, this decline was modestly better than the previous consensus forecast of a 1% contraction. Weather, port strikes, and a shifting energy market made a modestly slowing economy look worse than it really was. Second-quarter growth should look more like 2.5%–3%, as consumers rebound and net exports weigh less heavily on the data.

Employment: The U.S. economy added 280,000 nonfarm payroll jobs in May, surpassing the consensus estimate of 220,000. Certainly there was some help from the addition of summer jobs in some industries, but generally it was a very strong and clean report. The jobs data also exceeded the 12-month average of about 255,000 jobs per month. And like so many other data points, it appears that the economy is coming off its weather and West Coast port issues that temporarily depressed a wide range of economic statistics.

The job growth average for January through April was a mere 200,000 or so jobs per month, well below the annual average and a sharp falloff from a very strong autumn. Viewed on a year-over-year average basis, the jobs market has been consistently strong since September 2014. Private-sector employment has been growing at a rate of about 2.6%. Adding in the lethargic government sector, nonfarm payrolls have been expanding at a very healthy 2.3% rate.

Wages: While the market always focuses on the jobs number, wage growth is nearly as important. Month-to-month wage progression was also robust at a 0.3% monthly rate (3.6% annualized) and the year-over-year hourly wage growth rates also appear to be improving, although in a less dramatic fashion.

Consumption and Savings: Monthly consumption data looked stagnant in April. Consumers are not spending all of their real income growth (which includes investment and rent income) or wage growth. Whenever that gap has become that wide in the past, there has been a combination of a downward revision to earnings and an acceleration in consumer spending. We saw this very pattern last summer. Morningstar economists don't believe that consumers have become prolific savers. Instead, the more likely reason may be a physical inability to spend money (poor weather, lack of imported goods) and a mismatch of when the GDP report suggests consumers are spending their money and when those bills are actually paid. (February's nasty utility bills, which counted in February consumption reports, were likely paid in April, potentially dampening April retail sales and consumption reports, as well).

Housing: For a big change, all of the recent housing data showed a housing market that is accelerating across the board. Home price data, pending sales of existing homes, and new home sales all showed surprising strength and generally exceeded analysts' expectations. An improving housing market is the linchpin to the economy maintaining its 2.0%–2.5% growth rate in 2015, even in the face of a decline in real GDP in the first quarter. A 3% direct impact on GDP may seem small, but if the sector can manage 15% overall growth, that would amount to a 0.5% contribution to GDP. While that may not sound like a lot, a 0.5% contribution in a world of 2.0%–2.5% growth is a big deal. (Housing's contribution in 2014 was a mere 0.1%.) Also, demographics will continue to keep a lid on growth that is still not fully appreciated by the market.

Home Prices on the Rise Amid Low Inventory Levels and High Demand

The latest report from CoreLogic showed that home prices continued to rise at a much faster pace than previously expected, growing 2.0% in March. On a year-over-year basis, the growth stood at 5.9%, the fastest pace since last July. CoreLogic predicts that prices will rise 0.8% in April, and that the year-over-year growth will tick down to 5.4%.

Unusually low inventory levels and a coinciding increase in demand are driving the prices of existing homes higher. Faster-growing prices are both good and bad news. The bad news is that the higher pace of home price increases may put a dent in the affordability of existing homes, which is something that has the potential of slowing down the housing recovery. The good news is that it is reassuring to see many new buyers who feel financially secure and confident enough to buy a home, even at higher prices. Faster price growth also helps existing homeowners to emerge from their underwater mortgages. According

to CoreLogic, current home prices are still 11% below their April 2006 peak. More important, as faster-growing prices hurt the affordability of existing homes, the demand might shift toward new homes. The gap between existing-home prices and new home prices had grown unusually wide and declines in that gap could bolster the construction sector. That, in turn, could provide a direct boost to the GDP and employment. CoreLogic predicts that the price growth of existing homes may moderate later this year and that the prices may increase by about 5.1% from March 2015 to March 2016.

This article contains certain forward-looking statements which involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause the actual results to differ materially from any future results expressed or implied by those projected statements. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

©2013 Morningstar, Inc. All Rights Reserved. The information contained herein (1) is intended solely for informational purposes; (2) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or the content providers; (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete, or timely; and (4) does not constitute investment advice of any kind. Neither Morningstar nor the content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. "Morningstar" and the Morningstar logo are registered trademarks of Morningstar, Inc. Morningstar Market Commentary originally published by Robert Johnson, CFA, Director of Economic Analysis with Morningstar and has been modified for Morningstar Newsletter Builder.



Pettinga Financial Advisors
519 Main Street
Suite 100
Evansville, Indiana 47708
